# Estimates for Diameter and Width for the Isoperimetrix in Minkowski Geometry 

V.I. Diskant<br>Cherkassky State Technology University 460 Shevchenko Blvd., Cherkassy, 18006, Ukraine<br>E-mail:diskant@chiti.uch.net<br>diskant@chstu.cherkassy.ua

Received November 25, 2005
The following estimates are obtained for the diameter $D_{B}(I)$ and the width $\Delta_{B}(I)$ of isoperimetrix in Minkowski space $M^{n}$

$$
\frac{4 v_{n-1}}{n v_{n}} \leqslant \Delta_{B}(I) \leqslant D_{B}(I) \leqslant \frac{4 v_{n-1}}{v_{n}}
$$

where $v_{n}$ is a volume of the unit ball in $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $R^{n}$. The first inequality turns into equality for a bicone, the last inequality turns into equality for a cube.

Key words: isoperimetrix, Minkowski geometry, convex body, diameter, width.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 52A38, 52A40.

## Main Part

Let $B$ be a convex compact central-symmetric body with non-empty interior in $n$-dimensional affine space $A^{n}(n \geqslant 2)$ and point $o$ be the center of symmetry for $B$. For the point $x \in A^{n}, x \neq o$, we consider a ray from $o$ through $x$. Suppose $x_{0}$ is the point of intersection of the ray and boundary of $B$.

Put $g(\bar{x})=\frac{\bar{x}}{\overline{x_{0}}}, g(\bar{o})=0$, where $\bar{x}$ is a position-vector of point $x$. Function $g(\bar{x})$ is called Minkowski distance function [1, p. 26].

By using a distance function, G. Minkowski defined the distance $\rho_{B}(x, y)$ between the points $x$ and $y$ by

$$
\rho_{B}(x, y)=g(\bar{y}-\bar{x})
$$

G. Minkowski proved that $\rho_{B}(x, y)$ is a metric on $A^{n}[2$, p. 114].

Suppose $A^{n}$ is affine space with the Minkowski metric $\rho_{B}$ which is defined by using $B$. Such a space is called an $n$-dimensional Minkowski space $M^{n}$. The body $B$ is called a normalizing one for $M^{n}[2$, p. 114].

The distance from $o$ to the points of $B$ and only for these points is less or equal to one, and the distance from $o$ to the boundary of $B$ is equal to one. The body $B$ is also called a unit ball of $M^{n}$.

Consider a coordinate system in $M^{n}$ choosing $o$ as an origin. Using a positively definite symmetric bilinear form we determine a scalar product on $M^{n}$. Minkowski space with such a scalar product is called a space with the auxiliary Euclidean metric. In this paper by the convex body in $M^{n}$ we mean a convex compact set in $M^{n}$. An $n$-dimensional volume $V_{B}(A)$ for a convex body $A$ in $M^{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{B}(A)=\frac{V(A)}{V(B)} v_{n} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $V(A)$ and $V(B)$ are $n$-dimensional volumes of $A$ and $B$ with respect to the auxiliary Euclidean metric, $v_{n}$ is the volume of a unit ball in the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ [2, p. 278].

It follows from (1) that $V_{B}(B)=v_{n}$. If the auxiliary Euclidean metric satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(B)=v_{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the Euclidean volume $V$ is the volume $V_{B}$ of Minkowski space, i.e., $V(A)=$ $V_{B}(A)$ for each convex body $A$ in $M^{n}$.

Suppose that $M^{n}$ is a Minkowski space with the auxiliary Euclidean metric and the metric satisfies (2), $\bar{u} \in \Omega$ is a unit vector, $\Omega$ is a unit sphere with respect to this metric centered at $o$. Denote by $\overline{T(\bar{u})}$ a closed half-space in $M^{n}$ that contains $o$ and is bounded by the hyperplane $T(\bar{u})$. Here $T(\bar{u})$ is perpendicular to vector $\bar{u}$ and is at the Euclidean distance

$$
\frac{v_{n-1}}{V_{n-1}\left(B \bigcap T_{o}(\bar{u})\right)}
$$

from $o$. Here $T_{o}(\bar{u})$ is a hyperplane through $o$ parallel to $T(\bar{u}), V_{n-1}$ is the $(n-1)$ dimensional volume in the auxiliary Euclidean metric.

The body $I=\bigcap_{\bar{u} \in \Omega} \overline{T(\bar{u})}$ is said to be the isoperimetrix of $M^{n}$. The body $I$ is a convex central-symmetric centered at $o$. The isoperimetrix depends only on the unit ball $B$ of $M^{n}$ and does not depend on the auxiliary Euclidean metric that satisfies (2) [2, p. 279].

It was shown in $[2$, p. 280] that for each $\bar{u} \in \Omega$ half-space $\overline{T(\bar{u})}$ is a support halfspace for $I$ in $M^{n}$. Hence the support function $h_{I}(\bar{u}), \bar{u} \in \Omega$ of the isoperimetrix in $M^{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{I}(\bar{u})=\frac{v_{n-1}}{V_{n-1}\left(B \bigcap T_{o}(\bar{u})\right)} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was shown in [2, p. 282] that the area of surface $S_{B}(A)$ of the convex body $A$ in $M^{n}$ can be written as

$$
S_{B}(A)=n V_{1}(A, I)
$$

Here $V_{1}(A, I)$ denotes the first mixed volumes of $A$ and $I$ in the auxiliary Euclidean metric that satisfies (2). Therefore the solution of isoperimetric problem within a set of convex bodies in $M^{n}$ is a body positively homothetic to the isoperimetrix $I$ [2, p. 282]. In general, the body that is positively homothetic to the unit ball $B$ does not give a solution to isoperimetric problem in $M^{n}$.

Let A be a convex body with nonempty interior in $M^{n}$. For each support hyperplane $T_{A}$ for the body $A$ we consider another support hyperplane $T_{A}^{\prime}$, parallel to $T_{A}$. A set of points $Q\left(T_{A}\right)=\overline{T_{A}} \cap \overline{T_{A}^{\prime}}$ is called the support layer corresponding to $T_{A}$. Here $\overline{T_{A}}$ denotes a closed support half-space for body $A$, bounded by $T_{A}$. The width of the support layer $Q\left(T_{A}\right)$ equals to $2 q\left(Q\left(T_{A}\right), B\right)$. Here $q\left(Q\left(T_{A}\right), B\right)$ is the capacity coefficient, i.e., the maximum value of $\alpha$ such that the translation of the body $\alpha B$ may be contained in the layer $Q\left(T_{A}\right)$. If there is an auxiliary Euclidean metric on $M^{n}$ we shall denote by $Q_{A}(\bar{u})$ the support layer $Q\left(T_{A}\right)$, where $\bar{u} \in \Omega$ is a unit vector orthogonal to the hyperplanes $T_{A}$ and $T_{A}^{\prime}$.

Theorem 1. Suppose $\bar{u} \in \Omega$ is a unit vector in an auxiliary Euclidean metric on $M^{n}, Q_{A}(\bar{u})$ is a support layer for a body $A$ that is bounded by the support hyperplanes orthogonal to $\bar{u}$. Then for the width of the support layer $Q_{A}(\bar{u})$ we have the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 q\left(Q\left(T_{A}\right), B\right)=2 \frac{h_{A}(\bar{u})+h_{A}(-\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})+h_{B}(-\bar{u})}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{A}(\bar{u})$ is a support function of the body $A$ in this auxiliary metric.
Remark1. In the Euclidean case the numerator of (4) $h_{A}(\bar{u})+h_{A}(\bar{u})$ is equal to the width of the body $A$ in direction $\bar{u}[1, \mathrm{p} .62]$. The maximum value of the numerator when $\bar{u} \in \Omega$ is said to be a diameter $D$ of the body $A$ in $R^{n}$, and the minimum value is to be the width $\Delta[1, \mathrm{p} .62]$. It was shown that diameter of $A$ in $R^{n}$ coincides with the maximum of the distance between two points of $A$.

Since the numerator and the denominator of (4) are continuous functions of $\bar{u} \in \Omega$ and $h_{A}(\bar{u})+h_{A}(-\overline{-})>0$, there exist the maximum and minimum of the right-hand (4). The maximum of the right-hand side of (4) on $\Omega$ is called the diameter and is denoted by $D_{B}(A)$, and the minimum of the right-hand side of (4) on $\Omega$, denoted by $\Delta_{B}(A)$, is called the width of $A$ in $M^{n}$.

It was shown that $D_{B}(A)$ coincides with the maximum of the distance between points of $A$ in $M^{n}$ [3, p. 220].

R e m a r k 2 . In the case when $A$ is a central symmetric convex body and $o$ is a common symmetry center for $A$ and $B$ we have $h_{A}(\bar{u})=h_{A}(-\bar{u})$, $h_{B}(\bar{u})=h_{B}(-\bar{u})$ and (4) may be rewritten in the following form

$$
2 q\left(Q\left(T_{A}\right), B\right)=2 \frac{h_{A}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})}
$$

Since $B$ and $I$ are central-symmetric convex bodies and $o$ is their common center of symmetry, in any auxiliary Euclidean metric we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 q\left(Q\left(T_{I}\right), B\right)=2 \frac{h_{I}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. Suppose $\bar{u} \in \Omega$ is a unit vector in an auxiliary Euclidean metric on $M^{n}$. Then for the width $2 h_{I}(\bar{u}) / h_{B}(\bar{u})$ of the support layer $Q_{I}(\bar{u})$ of the isoperimetrix $I$ in $M^{n}$ we have the following estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 v_{n-1}}{n v_{n}} \leqslant 2 \frac{h_{I}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})} \leqslant \frac{4 v_{n-1}}{v_{n}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These estimates are exact. For example, the left equality holds when $B$ is a bicone in $M^{n}$ and the vector $\bar{u}$ is orthogonal to the common base of the cones. The right equality holds when $B$ is a cube in $M^{n}$ and the vector $\bar{u}$ is orthogonal to the face of the cube.

Theorem 3. For the width $\Delta_{B}(I)$ and the diameter $D_{B}(I)$ of the isoperimetrix $I$ in $M^{n}$ the following estimates hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 v_{n-1}}{n v_{n}} \leqslant \Delta_{B}(I) \leqslant D_{B}(I) \leqslant \frac{4 v_{n-1}}{v_{n}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, a left-hand equality holds when $B$ is a bicone in $M^{n}$ and a righthand equality holds when $B$ is a cube in $M^{n}$.

R e mark 3. In $[4, \mathrm{p} .14]$ the estimate $D_{B}(I) \leqslant d$ is proved, where $d$ depends on $n$ and does not depend on $B$. But this inequality is not exact.

Proof of Th e orem 1 . Let $T_{A}$ and $T_{A}^{\prime}$ be different parallel support hyperplanes for the body $A, T_{B}$ and $T_{B}^{\prime}$ be different support hyperplanes for the body $B$ that are parallel to $T_{A}$ and co-directional to the hyperplanes $T_{A}$ and $T_{A}^{\prime}$ respectively. Note that $T_{A}$ is co-directional to $T_{B}$ if at least one of the support half-spaces $\bar{T}_{A}$ or $\bar{T}_{B}$ lies in another one. Let $o$ be the center of symmetry for $B$ and belong to a bisecting hyperplane of hyperplanes $T_{A}$ and $T_{A}^{\prime}$. This can be done by a parallel translation of $B$. Consider $b \in T_{B} \cap B$. Draw a ray $l$ from
$o$ through $b$. Denote $a=l \cap T_{A}$. Then the body $t B$, where $t=\overline{o a} / \overline{o b}$, has the hyperplanes $T_{A}$ and $T_{A}^{\prime}$ as support hyperplanes. Therefore $t=q\left(Q\left(T_{A}\right), B\right)$ and the width of the support layer $A$ which corresponds to $T_{A}$ is equal to $2 t$. Now, let $l_{1}$ be a ray from $o$ that intersects $T_{B}, b_{1}=l_{1} \cap T_{B}, a_{1}=l_{1} \cap T_{A}$. Then the triangles $o b b_{1}$ and $o a a_{1}$ are similar. Hence, we get $\overline{o a_{1}} / \overline{o b_{1}}=t$. Thus, for each ray $l_{1}$ satisfying $l_{1} \cap T_{B} \neq \varnothing$ the ratio $2 \overline{o a_{1}} / \overline{o b_{1}}$ equals to the width of the support layer $Q\left(T_{A}\right)$.

Now, let there be an auxiliary Euclidean metric on $M^{n}$ and $Q\left(T_{A}\right)=Q_{A}(\bar{u})$, where $\bar{u}$ is a unit normal vector to $T_{A}$. Draw a ray $l_{1}$ from $o$ which is orthogonal to the hyperplane $T_{A}$ and co-directional to $\bar{u}$. Since the support function $h_{A}(\bar{u})$ is equal to the distance from $o$ to $T_{A}$, we get

$$
t=\frac{\left|\overline{o a_{1}}\right|}{\left|\overline{o b_{1}}\right|}=\frac{h_{A}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})} .
$$

The point $o$ is the center of symmetry of the body $B$, moreover $o$ belongs to the bisecting hyperplane of the layer $Q_{A}(\bar{u})$. Hence $h_{A}(\bar{u})=h_{A}(-\bar{u}), h_{B}(\bar{u})=$ $h_{B}(-\bar{u})$. Thus the width of the support layer $Q_{A}(\bar{u})$ corresponding to $\bar{u}$ is equal to

$$
2 q\left(Q_{A}(\bar{u}), B\right)=2 \frac{h_{A}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})}=2 \frac{h_{A}(\bar{u})+h_{A}(-\overline{-})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})+h_{B}(-\overline{-u})} .
$$

If we choose a new origin in the auxiliary Euclidean metric, then the values $h_{A}(\bar{u})+h_{A}(-\bar{u}), h_{B}(\bar{u})+h_{B}(-\bar{u})$ will not change. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

To prove Theorem 2 we need two lemmas. In these lemmas we use arbitrary auxiliary Euclidean metric on $M^{n}$.

Lemma 1. If $T_{0}$ is a hyperplane in $M^{n}$ containing the origin, then $T_{0}$ divides the unit ball $B$ into parts of equal volumes. Sections of $B$ by hyperplanes that are parallel to $T_{0}$ and are at the same distance from $T_{0}$ have equal $(n-1)$-dimensional volumes.

Proof of Lemma 1. It follows from central symmetry of $B$.
Let turn from the base of auxiliary Euclidean metric to the orthonormal base this Euclidean metric. Suppose that coordinate system $o x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ created by the orthonormal base, the hyperplane $T_{0}$ coincide with hyperplane $x_{n}=0$, segment $[-b ; b], b>0$, is a projection of the body $B$ on the axis $o x_{n}$.

Lemma 2. $V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=a\right)\right) \leqslant V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right), 0 \leqslant a \leqslant b$. Here $V_{n-1}$ denotes ( $n-1$ )-dimensional volume in an auxiliary Euclidean metric.

Proof of Lemma2. Let $\tilde{B}$ be a result of Schwarz symmetrization [2, p. 224] with respect to the axis $o x_{n}$. Then the projection of $\tilde{B}$ on $o x_{n}$ is the segment $[-\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{b}]$ and section $\tilde{B} \cap\left(x_{n}=c\right),-b \leqslant c \leqslant b$ is the $(n-1)$-dimensional ball with the center in point $c$ on $o x_{n}$. This section has the ( $n-1$ )-dimensional volume $V_{n-1}\left(\tilde{B} \cap\left(x_{n}=c\right)\right)=V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=c\right)\right)$. It follows from Lemma 1 that the radii of the balls $\tilde{B} \cap\left(x_{n}=a\right)$ and $\tilde{B} \cap\left(x_{n}=-a\right)$ are equal. Since the body $\tilde{B}$ is convex [2, p. 227], the radius of the ball $\tilde{B} \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)$ is not less than the radius of the ball $\tilde{B} \cap\left(x_{n}=a\right)$. Thus, $V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=a\right)\right)=V_{n-1}\left(\tilde{B} \cap\left(x_{n}=\right.\right.$ $a)) \leqslant V_{n-1}\left(\tilde{B} \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)=V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)$, where $0 \leqslant a \leqslant b$.

Later on we consider an auxiliary Euclidean metrics on $M^{n}$ that satisfies condition (2).

Proof of Theorem 2. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the orthonormal system $o x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ has vector $\bar{u} \in \Omega$ as a direction vector for the axis $o x_{n}$. Let $h_{B}(\bar{u})=b$. It follows from (3) that

$$
h_{I}(\bar{u})=\frac{v_{n-1}}{V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)} .
$$

Then the width of the support layer $Q_{I}(\bar{u})$ for the isoperimetrix $I$ can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{h_{I}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})}=\frac{2 v_{n-1}}{b V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us find an upper and lower bounds for the denominator of the right-hand side of (8) that depend only on $n$. To do this we consider bodies $B_{1}, \tilde{B}_{1}, K$, and $\Pi$. Here $B_{1}=B \cap\left(x_{n} \geq 0\right), \tilde{B}_{1}=\tilde{B} \cap\left(x_{n} \geq 0\right), K$ is a ball cone with the vertex in the point $b$ on $o x_{n}$ and the base $\tilde{B}_{1} \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)$. The base is a ball with the center in $o$. Denote by $\Pi$ a right ball cylinder with the base $\tilde{B}_{1} \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)$ that has the segment $[0 ; b]$ as a height.

The segment $[-b ; b]$ is a projection of the body $B$ on the axis $o x_{n}$, the segment $[0 ; b]$ is a projection of the bodies $B_{1}, \tilde{B}_{1}, K$, and $\Pi$ on the same axis. Consequently, the hyperplane $x_{n}=b$ is a support hyperplane with a unit outward normal vector $\bar{u}$ for the bodies $B, B_{1}, \tilde{B}_{1}, K$, and $\Pi$. Since the origin $o$ belongs to all these bodies, we have

$$
h_{B}(\bar{u})=h_{B_{1}}(\bar{u})=h_{\tilde{B}_{1}}(\bar{u})=h_{K}(\bar{u})=h_{\Pi}(\bar{u})=b .
$$

From the definition of $B_{1}$ it follows that $V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)=V_{n-1}\left(B_{1} \cap\left(x_{n}=\right.\right.$ $0)$ ). By the definition of Schwarz symmetrization with respect to a line we obtain $V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)=V_{n-1}\left(B_{1} \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)=V_{n-1}\left(\tilde{B}_{1} \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)$. From the definition of $K$ and $\Pi$ it follows that $V_{n-1}\left(\tilde{B}_{1} \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)=V_{n-1}\left(K \cap\left(x_{n}=\right.\right.$ $0))=V_{n-1}\left(\Pi \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)$.

By assumption, the auxiliary Euclidean metric on $M^{n}$ satisfies the condition $V(B)=v_{n}$. Then Lemma 1 implies $V\left(B_{1}\right)=v_{n} / 2$. Since $\tilde{B}_{1}$ is the result of Schwarz symmetrization $B$ with respect to the axis $o x_{n}$, we get $V\left(\tilde{B}_{1}\right)=V\left(B_{1}\right)=$ $v_{n} / 2$. The volume of the cone $K$ equals

$$
V(K)=\frac{1}{n} b V_{n-1}\left(\tilde{B}_{1} \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)=\frac{1}{n} b V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right) .
$$

The volume of the cylinder $\Pi$ equals

$$
V(\Pi)=b V_{n-1}\left(\tilde{B}_{1} \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right)=b V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right) .
$$

The body $\tilde{B}_{1}$ contains the vertex of the cone $K$. The base of the cone $K$ is the ball $\tilde{B}_{1} \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)$ and this ball belongs to $\tilde{B}_{1}$. Hence, we have $K \subset \tilde{B}_{1}$. It follows from Lem. 2 that $\tilde{B}_{1} \subset \Pi$. Then from the chain of inclusions

$$
K \subset \tilde{B}_{1} \subset \Pi
$$

we get

$$
V(K) \leqslant V\left(\tilde{B}_{1}\right) \leqslant V(\Pi)
$$

or

$$
\frac{1}{n} b V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right) \leqslant v_{n} / 2 \leqslant b V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right) .
$$

The inequalities obtained above lead to the following estimates, depending only on $n$, for the denominator (8).

$$
v_{n} / 2 \leqslant b V_{n-1}\left(B \cap\left(x_{n}=0\right)\right) \leqslant n v_{n} / 2 .
$$

By using these estimates in (8) we get the estimates (6) for $2 h_{I}(\bar{u}) / h_{B}(\bar{u})$ in the statement of Th. 2.

Remark 4. Let $B$ be a bicone in $M^{n}$, o the center of $B, T_{0}$ a hyperplane that passes through $o$ and has a common base of the cones. Consider the half-space where a unit vector $\bar{u}$ is orthogonal to $T_{0}$ and directed inside. Denote by $b$ the height of the cone $B_{1}$ that lies in this half-space. Since $v_{n} / 2=b V_{n-1}\left(B \cap T_{0}\right) / n$, we get $h_{B}(\bar{u})=b, h_{I}(\bar{u})=v_{n-1} / V_{n-1}\left(B \bigcap T_{o}\right)$ and

$$
\frac{h_{I}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})}=\frac{2 v_{n-1}}{n v_{n}} .
$$

This implies that the left-hand estimate for $2 h_{I}(\bar{u}) / h_{B}(\bar{u})$ in the statement of Th. 2 is exact.

Let $B$ be a cube in $M^{n}$ and $o$ the center of $B$. Denote by $T_{0}$ a hyperplane through $o$ parallel to the face of $B$ with the outward normal vector $\bar{u}$, let $a$ be the edge of $B$. Since $a^{n}=v_{n}$, we obtain

$$
h_{B}(\bar{u})=a / 2, \quad h_{I}(\bar{u})=\frac{v_{n-1}}{a^{n-1}}, \quad \frac{h_{I}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})}=\frac{2 v_{n-1}}{v_{n}} .
$$

Thus the right-hand estimate in the statement of Th. 2 is exact.
Proof of Theorem 3. It follows from Remark 1 and (5) that

$$
D_{B}(I)=\max _{\bar{u} \in \Omega} 2 \frac{h_{I}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})}, \Delta_{B}(I)=\min _{\bar{u} \in \Omega} 2 \frac{h_{I}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})} .
$$

Then using the inequalities (6) we obtain

$$
\frac{4 v_{n-1}}{n v_{n}} \leqslant \min _{\bar{u} \in \Omega} 2 \frac{h_{I}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})} \leqslant \max _{\bar{u} \in \Omega} 2 \frac{h_{I}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})} \leqslant \frac{4 v_{n-1}}{v_{n}} .
$$

These estimates and estimates (7) are equivalent. This completes the proof.
Remark 5. Let $B$ be a bicone from Remark $4, \bar{u}$ be a unit vector that orthogonal to the common base of the cones. Then

$$
2 \frac{h_{I}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})}=\frac{4 v_{n-1}}{n v_{n}} .
$$

Now, by (7), this quantity equals $\Delta_{B}(I)$. Thus, the left-hand estimate in (7) for $\Delta_{B}(I)$ is exact.

Let $B$ be a cube from Remark 4, $\bar{u}$ an outward unit normal vector to one of the hyperplanes containing the face of the cube. Then

$$
2 \frac{h_{I}(\bar{u})}{h_{B}(\bar{u})}=\frac{4 v_{n-1}}{v_{n}} .
$$

Now, by (7), this quantity equals to $D_{B}(I)$. Thus, the right-hand estimate in (7) for $D_{B}(I)$ is exact.
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